Rory C. Leisinger, Esq. (SBN: 277476) Leisinger Law, LLP 2 118 N. Citrus Ave, Suite B 3 Covina, CA 91723 Tel: 626-290-2868 4 Email: rory@leisingerlaw.com 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff Sarah Ferrara 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 SAN DIEGO DIVISION 10 Case No.: '16CV0129 MMAJLB 11 Sarah Ferrara, 12 Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT** 13 14 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** VS. 15 16 17 U.S. BANCORP d/b/a US BANK, NA, 18 Defendant. 19 20 Plaintiff, SARAH FERRARA ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself, by and 21 through the undersigned attorneys, alleges against the Defendant, U.S. BANCORP 22 d/b/a U.S. BANK, NA ("Defendant"), as follows: 23 NATURE OF THE CASE 24 Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself individually seeking damages 1. 25 and any other available legal or equitable remedies resulting from the illegal 26 actions of Defendant, in negligently, knowingly, and/or willfully contacting 27 Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cellular telephone in violation of the Telephone Consumer 28

Protection Act (hereinafter "TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227 *et seq.*, and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, California Civil Code § 1788 *et seq.*

JURSIDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3). See, Mims v. Arrow Financial Services, LLC, 132 S.Ct. 740 (2012), holding that federal and state courts have concurrent jurisdiction over private suits arising under the TCPA.
- 3. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1391(b) because Plaintiff resides within this District and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the herein claims occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated within this District.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in San Diego, San Diego County, California and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10) and Cal Civ Code § 1788.2(g).
- 5. Plaintiff is a "debtor" as defined by Cal Civ Code § 1788.2(h).
- 6. Defendant is a corporation doing business in the State of California, with its corporate address listed as 800 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402.
- 7. Defendant is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10) and Cal Civ Code § 1788.2(g).
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by Cal Civ Code § 1788.2(c).
- 9. On information and belief, Defendant is attempting to collect a "consumer debt" from Plaintiff as that term is defined and used in Cal Civ Code § 1788.2(e).

25 | | ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ////

FACTUAL STATEMENT

- 10. In or around November of 2015, Plaintiff began receiving automated telephone calls from Defendant from phone numbers, including but not limited to telephone number: 877-838-4347.
 - On information and belief, Defendant also communicated, or attempted to 11. communicate, with Plaintiff from another telephone number belonging to Defendant's.
 - Defendant used an "automatic telephone dialing system", as defined by 47 12. U.S.C. § 227(a) (1) to place its telephone calls to Plaintiff seeking to collect a consumer debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, Sarah Ferrara.
- Defendant's calls constituted calls that were not for emergency purposes as defined by 47 U.S.C. $\S 227(b)(1)(A)$.
- Defendant's calls were placed to telephone number assigned to a cellular 13 telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
 - Defendant called Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cellular telephone number: 619-808-15. 8006.
 - Defendant never received Plaintiff' "prior express consent" to receive calls 16. using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice on her cellular telephone pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
 - 17. On or about November 19, 2015 at 12:30 P.M., Plaintiff spoke with Defendant, or a representative thereof named "Jackie", and Plaintiff revoked any actual or implied "prior express consent" for Defendant to contact her cellular phone in any manner including the usage of an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice on her cellular telephone pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(a) (1), 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

/// 27

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

/// 28

///

///

- 18. Despite Plaintiff's revocation of any actual or implied "prior express consent" to the actions as outlined above Defendant did not cease the telephone calls.
- 19. Between November 24, 2015 and December 4, 2015, Defendant placed approximately 26 ("twenty-six") calls to Sarah Ferrara using an "automatic telephone dialing system", as defined by *47 U.S.C. § 227(a) (1)* to place its telephone calls to Plaintiff seeking to collect a consumer debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, Sarah Ferrara.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227

- 20. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-19.
- 21. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 22. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3)(B).
- 23. Plaintiff is also entitled to seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227 et. seq.

24. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-23.

- 25. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
 - 26. As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled an award of \$1,500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
 - 27. Plaintiff is also entitled to seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE § 1788.11(d) & (e)

- 28. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-27.
- 29. Defendant has called Plaintiff a minimum of 26 ("twenty-six") times in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 30. Defendant called Plaintiff a minimum of 26 ("twenty-six") times in the period of 1 month in order to harass Plaintiff.
- 31. Defendant would call Plaintiff as often as 4-5 times per day.
- 20 32. Defendant's actions are in violation of § 1788.11(d) and (e) of the California
- ²¹ Civil Code which prohibits communicating, by telephone or in person, with the
 - debtor with such frequency as to be unreasonable and to constitute harassment to
- the consumer and prohibits causing a telephone to ring repeatedly and continuously
 - to annoy the person called.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

24

- 25 | 33. As a result of Defendant's violations of Cal Civ Code § 1788.2(d) and (e),
- Plaintiff is entitled to an award of no less than \$100.00 and up to \$1,000.00 for
- 27 Defendant's willful and knowing violations per Cal Civ Code § 1788.30(b) plus
- attorney's fees and costs per Cal Civ Code § 1788.30(c).

1

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

34. Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request that this Court enter judgment against the Defendant, and on behalf of the Plaintiff, for the following:

- a. That an order be entered declaring the Defendant's actions, as described above, in violation of the TCPA and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act;
- b. That judgment be entered in favor of Plaintiff against Defendant for each and every violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);
- c. That the Court award treble damages to Plaintiff for each and every violation of the TCPA the Court deems willful and knowing;
- d. That judgment be entered in favor of Plaintiff against Defendant for a violation of the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act;
- e. That the Court award statutory damages to Plaintiff for the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act violations in accordance with § 1788.30(b) of the California Civil Code;
- f. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: January 19, 2016 Respectfully Submitted,

LEISINGER LAW, LLP

By: <u>/s/ Rory Leisinger</u> Rory Leisinger, Esq. (SBN: 277476)

118 N. Citrus Ave, Suite B

Covina, CA 91723 Tel: 626-290-2868

Email: Rory@leisingerlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Sarah Ferrara